

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/752,564	01/03/2001	Hirofumi Sakaue	32405W061	9797
7	590 10/10/2003		EXAMINER	
Smith, Gambrell & Russell, LLP			STRIMBU, GREGORY J	
Beveridge, Det	Grandi, Weilacher &			
Young Interlle	ctual Property Group	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	N.W. (Suite 800)	3634		
Washington, I	OC 20036		DATE MAILED: 10/10/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/752,564	SAKAUE ET AL.				
,	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Gregory J. Strimbu	3634	V			
David d	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ess			
Period fo	•	DIVIS SET TO EVDIDE 2 MO	NITH(S) EDOM				
THE - Externation - If the - If NO - Failu - Any earn	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a round priod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state treply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repepty within the statutory minimum of thirty of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI ute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this comn NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status		0 / / 0000		•			
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28						
2a)⊠	,	This action is non-final.		manita in			
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allo- closed in accordance with the practice unde ion of Claims			nents is			
·		on					
4)[2]	 Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
5)□	Claim(s) 1-13 and 17 is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
'	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.					
	ion Papers	•					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ dis	approved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •					
,	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner.					
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr application from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		age			
14) 🗌 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional ap	oplication).			
	a) The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome						
Attachmer	<u>-</u>		-				
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). formal Patent Application (PTO-1				

· Application/Control Number: 09/752,564

Art Unit: 3634

Election/Restrictions

Claims 14-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction requirement in Paper No. 10.

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the previous examiner examined all of the claims. This is not found persuasive because there is no evidence of record that the examiner did in fact examine claims 14-16. First, claims 14-16 were presented after the first office action of December 28, 2001 and no subsequent office action was issued by the previous examiner. Additionally, there is no record of the conversation of May 2, 2002 was indeed an interview discussing the merits of the application. Neither the examiner nor the applicant has presented an interview summary stating what transpired during the conversation of May 2, 2002. It is unclear to the examiner why the applicant has not submitted an interview summary detailing what was discussed and agreed to if the conversation of May 2, 2002 was in fact an interview. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 5-7, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

· Application/Control Number: 09/752,564

Art Unit: 3634

Recitations such as "said power source" on line 2 of claims 5 and 6 render the claims indefinite because it is unclear if the applicant is referring to the power source unit set forth above or is setting forth another power source. Recitations such as "an operating signal" on line 3 of claim 5 render the claims indefinite because it is unclear if the applicant is referring to the detection signal set forth above or is attempting to set forth another signal in addition to the one set forth above. Recitations such as "the rotation" on lines 3 and 4 of claim 6 render the claims indefinite because it is unclear what element of the invention is rotating. Recitations such as "an opening direction" on line 3 of claim 6 render the claims indefinite because it is unclear if the applicant is referring to the opening direction set forth above or is attempting to set forth another direction in addition to the one set forth above. Recitations such as "said clutch" on line 4 of claim 12 render the claims indefinite because they lack antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by German Patent Publication No. 41 24 869. German Patent Publication No. 41 24 869 discloses an apparatus comprising a power source unit 9 that produces power, a slider 12 that transforms the power into a reciprocating motion, a hinge arm 3, a connecting

· Application/Control Number: 09/752,564

Art Unit: 3634

rod 20 that interlocks the slider and the hinge arm, the rod transmitting the reciprocating motion to the hinge arm, a mounting base 6 that supports the power source unit and the slider, a mounting base installer 22, and a gas stay 5 attached to the hinge arm at one end, disposed at substantially the same height as and approximately in parallel with the connecting rod and extending in the longitudinal direction of the vehicle for biasing the rear gate in an opening direction.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 4, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German Patent Publication No. 41 24 869 as applied to claims 1 and 2 above, and further in view of Hellinga et al. Hellinga et al. discloses a clutch 5, a position detector (not numbered, but see column 6, lines 15-22), a manipulator 8, a controller 7, a handle switch 24

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide German Patent Publication No. 41 24 869 with a clutch, a position detector, a manipulator, a controller, at taught by Hellinga et al., to enable a user to manually operate the closure and to accurately and safely move the closure

Application/Control Number: 09/752,564

Art Unit: 3634

Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German Patent Publication No. 41 24 869 in view of Hellinga et al. as applied to claims 3, 4, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13 and 17 above, and further in view of Kato. Kato discloses an apparatus having a controller 2 that controls an opening and closing speed of the closure D.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide German Patent Publication No. 41 24 869 with a speed controller, as taught by Kato, to ensure the smooth movement of the closure between the open and closed positions.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German Patent Publication No. 41 24 869 in view of Hellinga et al. as applied to claims 3, 4, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13 and 17 above, and further in view of Kowall et al. Kowall et al. discloses an rear lift gate apparatus having an alarm 52.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide German Patent Publication No. 41 24 869, as modified above, with an alarm, as taught by Kowall et al., to enable a user to know an object is obstructing the movement of the closure.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 28, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- Application/Control Number: 09/752,564

Art Unit: 3634

The applicant's comments concerning German Patent Publication No. 41 24 869 are not persuasive because they are not supported by the claim language. Note that the applicant has set forth the subcombination of an apparatus and not the combination of an apparatus and a rear gate of a vehicle. Therefore, the apparatus of German Patent Publication No. 41 24 869 only need be capable of performing as the applicant recites in the claims in order to anticipate the claims. It is the examiner's position that the apparatus of German Patent Publication No. 41 24 869 is clearly capable of performing as intended by the applicant. The slider 12 is not only capable of, but actually moves in a longitudinal direction of the vehicle since it includes both a vertical component and a horizontal component as shown in the figure. The mounting base installer 22 is capable of detachably installed the mounting base 6 in a space formed by a rear rail, a side rail and under a roof of the vehicle. Finally, German Patent Publication No. 41 24 869 discloses a gas stay 5 which extends in the longitudinal direction and is capable of rotatably attaching to a side rail at one end thereof.

If the applicant were to positively recite the combination of the apparatus and the vehicle, the application may be allowable.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

- Application/Control Number: 09/752,564

Art Unit: 3634

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory J. Strimbu whose telephone number is 703-305-3979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3597 for regular communications and 703-305-3597 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

2168.

Gregory J. Strimbu Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

October 8, 2003